2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 4 5 6 JAMES PAUL OTTERSON, Case No. 2:21-cv-02135-CDS-NJK 7 Plaintiff(s), Order 8 v. 9 INTERMOUNTAIN HEALTH, INC., et al., 10 Defendant(s). 11 Pending before the Court is Defendant's reply brief to its motion to enforce settlement in which Defendant contends that Plaintiff has confirmed a settlement in written correspondence. 13 Docket No. 42 at 2. The attached declaration indicates that the communications will be provided for "in camera inspection" upon judicial request. Docket No. 41-2 at ¶ 5. In camera review is 15 disfavored and is properly limited to narrow circumstances. See, e.g., Diamond State Ins. Co. v. 16 Rebel Oil Co., 157 F.R.D. 691, 700 (D. Nev. 1994). Moreover, the Court has already specified in 17 this case that submission of settlement discussions for judicial review should be made by filing 18 documents under seal with a concurrent motion to seal. Docket No. 35 at 1 n.1. No explanation has been advanced as to why the instant communications should be handled differently. 20 Accordingly, Defendant must file the identified communications in a sealed notice by May 6, 2022, 21 along with a concurrently filed motion to seal the communications. 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 Dated: May 4, 2022 24 Nancy J. Koppe 25 United States Magistrate Judge 26 27 28 1